

No. ID/BHN/49-83/43155.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman, Shri Ram Parkash and the management of M/s Cement Corporation of India Ltd. (Cement Unit), Charkhi Dadri, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO-(E)/Lab/70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Parkash was justified and in order ?  
If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD/103-83/43162.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana, is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Vasdev Bhatia and the Management of M/s Escorts (M.S.D.) Employees, Mutual Assistance Society, 19/6, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana, hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication ;

Whether the termination of service of Shri Vasdev Bhatia was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD/107-83/43169.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Rajbir Singh and the management of M/s Super Auto India, Plot No. 50, Sector-6, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter to dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Rajbir Singh was justified and in order ? if not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/YMN/228-83/43183.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Majid and the management of M/s. Moti Ram-Hazura Mal, Bhagat Pura, Jagadhri, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3-Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Majid was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/YMN/231-83/43189.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Subash Chander and the management of M/s Moti Ram-Hazura Mal, Bhagat Pura Jagadhri, regarding the matter hereináfter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Subash Chander was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/YMN/226-83/43195.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Mohd Iqbal and the management of M/s. Moti Ram-Hazura Mal, Bhagat Pura, Jagadhri, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Mohd Iqbal, was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/YMN/230-83/43202.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Mohd Anwar and the management of M/s Moti Ram-Hazura Mal, Bhagat Pura, Jagadhri, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Mohd Anwar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/YMN/227-83/43208.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Lekh Raj and the management of M/s Moti Ram-Hazura Mal, Bhagat Pura, Jagadhri, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Lekh Raj was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/YMN/232-83/43214.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Bal Krishan and the management of M/s Moti Ram-Hazura Mal, Bhagat Pura, Jagadhri, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Bal Krishan, was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?